UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY CAMDEN VICINAGE

IN RE: BENICAR (OLMESARTAN)	MDL No. 2606
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	Honorable Robert B. Kugler, District Court Judge
This document relates to:	Honorable Joel Schneider, Magistrate Judge

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

Plaintiff(s) file(s) this Short Form Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial against Defendants named below by and through the undersigned counsel. Plaintiff(s) incorporate(s) by reference the allegations contained in Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand in In re: Benicar (Olmesartan) Products Liability Litigation, MDL 2606 in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, Camden Vicinage. Plaintiff(s) file this Short Form Complaint as permitted by Case Management Order No. 6 of this Court.

In addition to those causes of action contained in *Plaintiffs' Master*Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand, where certain claims require specific pleadings and/or amendments, Plaintiff(s) shall add and include them herein.

IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES

$\underline{Identification\ of\ Plaintiff(s)}$

1.	Name and residence of individual	injured due to use of <i>olmesartan</i>
produ	ct(s):	
2.	Plaintiff(s) is/are a citizen of	
3.	Consortium Claim(s): The following	
loss o	f consortium:	
4.	Survival and/or Wrongful Death Cla	ims:
	a. Name and residence of Decedent	Plaintiff when he/she suffered
	artan product(s) related injuries and/	
5.	Plaintiff/Decedent was born on	·
6.	Plaintiff is filing this case in a repres	entative capacity as the
	of the	having been duly appointed
as the	by the	Court of

Plaintiff(s) claims damages as a result of:			
	injury to herself/himself		
	injury to the person represented		
	wrongful death		
	survivorship action		
	economic loss		
	loss of services		
	loss of consortium		
<u>Iden</u>	tificati	ion of Defendants	
7.	Plain	tiff(s)/Decedent Plaintiff(s) is/are suing the following	
Defe	endant(s) (please check all that apply):	
Daiichi Sankyo Defendants:			
	□ Daiichi Sankyo, Inc.		
	□ Daiichi Sankyo U.S. Holdings, Inc.		
	□ Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd.		
Forest Defendants:			
		Forest Laboratories, LLC, f/k/a Forest Laboratories, Inc.	
	□ Forest Pharmaceuticals, Inc.		
	□ Forest Research Institute, Inc.		

Additional Defendants:	
	Other(s) Defendant(s) (please specify):
	JURISDICTION & VENUE
<u>Jur</u>	risdiction:
8.	Jurisdiction in this Short Form Complaint is based on:
	□ Diversity of Citizenship
	☐ Other (As set forth below, the basis of any additional ground
for	jurisdiction must be pled in sufficient detail as required by the applicable
Fed	eral Rules of Civil Procedure)
<u>Ver</u>	nue:
9.	District Court and Division in which remand and trial is proper and
whe	ere you might have otherwise filed this Short Form Complaint absent the
dire	ect filing Order entered by this Court:

CASE SPECIFIC FACTS

10.	Plair	ntiff(s) currently reside(s) in (City, State):
11.	At th	ne time of the Plaintiff's/Decedent's <i>olmesartan</i> product(s) injury.
Plair	ntiff/De	ecedent resided in (City, State):
12.	Plair	ntiff/Decedent began using olmesartan product(s) as prescribed
and i	ndicat	ed on or about the following date:
13.	Plair	ntiff/Decedent was prescribed and used the following olmesartan
prod	ucts	
		BENICAR®
		BENICAR HCT®
		AZOR®
		TRIBENZOR®
14.	As a	result of ingesting olmesartan products, Plaintiff/Decedent
suffe	ered pe	rsonal and economic injur(ies), including, but not limited to, the
follo	wing:	

CAUSES OF ACTION

15. F	. Plaintiff(s) hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the Maste	
Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand as if fully set forth herein.		
16. 7	The following claims and allegations asserted in the Master Long	
Form	Complaint and Jury Demand are herein adopted by Plaintiff(s):	
	□ Count I: Products Liability – Design Defect (Strict Liability)	
	□ Count II: Products Liability – Failure to Warn (Strict Liability)	
	□ Count III: Gross Negligence	
	□ Count IV: Negligence	
	□ Count V: Negligence per se	
	□ Count VI: Negligent Misrepresentation	
	□ Count VII: Negligent Design	
	□ Count VIII: Fraudulent Concealment	
	□ Count IX: Constructive Fraud	
	□ Count X: Fraud	
	□ Count XI: Breach of Express Warranties	
	□ Count XII: Breach of Implied Warranties	
	□ Count XIII: Unjust Enrichment	
	□ Count XIV: Violation of State Consumer Protection Laws of	
the Sta	nte of	

	Count XV: Loss of Consortium	
	□ Count XVI: Wrongful Death	
	Count XVII: Survival Action	
	Count XVIII: Punitive Damages	
	Furthermore, Plaintiff(s) assert(s) the following additional	
theories an	nd/or State Causes of Action against Defendant(s) identified in	
paragraph	four (4) above If Plaintiff(s) includes additional theories of	
recovery,	to the extent they require specificity in pleadings, the specific facts	
and allega	tions supporting these theories must be pled by Plaintiff(s) in a	
manner co	omplying with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil	
Procedure	•	

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray(s) for relief and judgment against

Defendants of compensatory damages, punitive damages, interest, costs of
suit, and such further relief as the Court deems equitable and just, and as set
forth in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand as appropriate.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff(s) hereby demand a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

Dated:	Respectfully Submitted by,
	Counsel for Plaintiff(s)